Modelling in Biomechanics

Summary



Last update: May 17, 2021



Agenda

- Materials
- Finite Elements Analysis
- Tissues of interest
- Fluid Dynamics
- Musculoskeletal modelling
 - Muscle models
 - $\circ~$ Forward/inverse kinematics
 - Forward/inverse dynamics



Materials



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Definition

The study of describing the amount of load that can be exerted on a material until it deforms or fails.



Definition

The study of describing the amount of load that can be exerted on a material until it deforms or fails.

Galileo Galilei was one of the first to develop a theory for the strength of materials (*Two new sciences, 1638*)



Definition

The study of describing the amount of load that can be exerted on a material until it deforms or fails.

Galileo Galilei was one of the first to develop a theory for the strength of materials (*Two new sciences, 1638*)

What concepts you remember from 'Rezistența Materialelor'?

General overview

Basic hypothesis: every object has resistance to deformation related to its composing materials and shape.



General overview

Basic hypothesis: every object has **resistance** to **deformation** related to its composing materials and shape.



General overview

Basic hypothesis: every object has **resistance** to **deformation** related to its composing materials and shape.

Resistance relates to the amount of load we exert on the object.



General overview

Basic hypothesis: every object has **resistance** to **deformation** related to its composing materials and shape.

Resistance relates to the amount of load we exert on the object.

Deformation can be either temporary or permanent.



Stress

Stress is a standardized unit for quantifying the load applied on a specific area. It is a similar notion as pressure, as it is calculated by the division of Force under the Area.



Stress

Stress is a standardized unit for quantifying the load applied on a specific area. It is a similar notion as pressure, as it is calculated by the division of Force under the Area.



Stress

Stress is a standardized unit for quantifying the load applied on a specific area. It is a similar notion as pressure, as it is calculated by the division of Force under the Area.

 $\sigma = \frac{F}{A}$



Why use stress instead of force?

Stress

Stress can be either normal or shear stress.



Stress

Stress can be either *normal* or *shear* stress. Normal stress acts perpendicular to a surface



Stress

Stress can be either *normal* or *shear* stress. Normal stress acts perpendicular to a surface, while shear stress acts parallel to it.



Stress

Stress can be either *normal* or *shear* stress. Normal stress acts perpendicular to a surface, while shear stress acts parallel to it.



What is *normal* or *parallel*, depends what is the surface of reference

Tensile tests

How do we quantify the properties of a material?



Tensile tests

How do we quantify the properties of a material?



86

Isotropic vs Anisotropic

Isotropic material

A material that has the same properties regardless of the axis of measurement

Anisotropic material

A material that its properties differ along different axes.



Isotropic vs Anisotropic

Isotropic material

A material that has the same properties regardless of the axis of measurement

Anisotropic material

A material that its properties differ along different axes.

What can be the source of anisotropy?

Isotropic vs Anisotropic

Isotropic material

A material that has the same properties regardless of the axis of measurement

Anisotropic material

A material that its properties differ along different axes.

What can be the source of anisotropy? What kind do you think biological materials are?

Timoshenko-Ehrenfest beam theory



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

86

Timoshenko-Ehrenfest beam theory



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\left(EI\frac{\mathrm{d}^2w}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\right)=q(x)$ Why is Euler-Bernouli wrong?

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

86

Timoshenko-Ehrenfest beam theory



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \right) = q(x)$$

Why is Euler-Bernouli wrong?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right) = q(x)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}x} = \varphi - \frac{1}{\kappa AG} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right)$$

Back to biomechanics



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right) = q(x)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}x} = \varphi - \frac{1}{\kappa AG} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right).$$

Can we apply this to biological materials?

86

Back to biomechanics



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right) = q(x)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}x} = \varphi - \frac{1}{\kappa AG} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(EI \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x} \right).$$

Can we apply this to biological materials?

86

Why not?



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Description

A computational scheme to solve field problems. The field can be *stress, heat, pressure, electric, magnetic,* etc, etc. The principle involves dividing the body in finite pieces that can provide analytical solutions.



Description

A computational scheme to solve field problems. The field can be *stress, heat, pressure, electric, magnetic,* etc, etc. The principle involves dividing the body in finite pieces that can provide analytical solutions.

Key word is **discretization**

Different levels of discretization



Different levels of discretization



86

• Geometry

Different levels of discretization



- Geometry
- Materials



86

Different levels of discretization



- Geometry
- Materials
- Time



Geometry discretization

To discretize geometry, we have several elements available (Think of them as lego blocks):



Geometry discretization

To discretize geometry, we have several elements available (Think of them as lego blocks):




Geometry discretization

To discretize geometry, we have several elements available (Think of them as lego blocks):

- 1D (Rods, beams, Trusses, Frames)
- 2D (Triangular, Quadrilateral, Plates, Shells)





Geometry discretization

To discretize geometry, we have several elements available (Think of them as lego blocks):

- 1D (Rods, beams, Trusses, Frames)
- 2D (Triangular, Quadrilateral, Plates, Shells)
- 3D (Tetrahedral, Hexahedral)



Geometry discretization

To discretize geometry, we have several elements available (Think of them as lego blocks):

- 1D (Rods, beams, Trusses, Frames)
- 2D (Triangular, Quadrilateral, Plates, Shells)
- 3D (Tetrahedral, Hexahedral)

What does it mean 1D, 2D, 3D?

1D element equations



A model of a spring.



1D element equations



A model of a spring.



1D element equations



A model of a spring.

1D element equations



A model of a spring.



1D element equations



A model of a spring.



$$F_1 = ku_1 - ku_2$$
$$F_2 = -ku_1 + ku_2$$

1D element equations



A model of a spring.

We need to write a force displacement equation for each 'node'



Modelling in Biomechanics

2D element equations





2D element equations



2D element equations



We assume linear transition of the stress/strain between the nodes of the element

2D element equations



$$k = tA(B^T E B)$$

where:

- t: Thickness of the plate
- A: Area of the triangle
- E: Young's modulus
- B: "shape" matrix

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

We assume linear transition of the stress/strain between the nodes of the element

2D element equations



$$k = tA(B^T E B)$$

where:

- t: Thickness of the plate
- A: Area of the triangle
- E: Young's modulus
- B: "shape" matrix

We assume linear transition of the stress/strain between the nodes of the element

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} y_{23} & 0 & y_{31} & 0 & y_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & x_{32} & 0 & x_{13} & 0 & x_{31} \\ x_{32} & y_{23} & x_{13} & y_{31} & x_{21} & y_{13} \end{bmatrix}$$

2D element equations



$$k = tA(B^T E B)$$

where:

- t: Thickness of the plate
- A: Area of the triangle
- E: Young's modulus
- B: "shape" matrix

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

We assume linear transition of the stress/strain between the nodes of the element

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} y_{23} & 0 & y_{31} & 0 & y_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & x_{32} & 0 & x_{13} & 0 & x_{31} \\ x_{32} & y_{23} & x_{13} & y_{31} & x_{21} & y_{13} \\ x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \end{bmatrix}$$

Modelling in Biomechanics

Combining elements



How do we combine elements?

18/86

Problem construction

In any of these cases, we are trying to solve a problem of force and displacement

$$\left[K\right]\left\{u\right\} = \left\{F\right\}$$

Applications in biomechanics

Why is this useful for biomechanics?



Applications in biomechanics

Why is this useful for biomechanics?





Applications in biomechanics

Why is this useful for biomechanics?







Applications in biomechanics

Why is this useful for biomechanics?











Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Bone morphology

Types of bones



Bone morphology

Bone is a living tissue



Bone morphology

Bone is a living tissue



86

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0dV1Bwe2v6c

Composition of long bones

Compact Bone & Spongy (Cancellous Bone)



Composition of long bones

Compact Bone & Spongy (Cancellous Bone)



86

Basic structure is the osteon

Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material.



Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water



Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water Only that the sponge is closed so that no water escapes!



Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water Only that the sponge is closed so that no water escapes!

We've seen that $\sigma=E\epsilon$ for elastic materials



Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water Only that the sponge is closed so that no water escapes!

We've seen that $\sigma = E\epsilon$ for elastic materials

For poroelastic materials, we include a term that is proportional to the pressure of the fluid

Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water Only that the sponge is closed so that no water escapes!

We've seen that $\sigma = E\epsilon$ for elastic materials

For poroelastic materials, we include a term that is proportional to the pressure of the fluid

$$\sigma + Ap = E\epsilon$$

Modelling

Bone in general is modelled as a *Poroelastic* material. Think of this as a sponge filled with water Only that the sponge is closed so that no water escapes!

We've seen that $\sigma = E\epsilon$ for elastic materials

For poroelastic materials, we include a term that is proportional to the pressure of the fluid

 $\sigma + Ap = E\epsilon$

Where p is the fluid pressure, and A is called the Biot coefficient

Cartilage

Chemical Composition

Hyaline cartilage consists by 40% of Type II collagen. The rest is mainly water and Proteoglycean. For synovial joints, it is a thin layer (0.5 - 5 mm)



Cartilage

Chemical Composition

Hyaline cartilage consists by 40% of Type II collagen. The rest is mainly water and Proteoglycean. For synovial joints, it is a thin layer (0.5 - 5 mm)


Permeability

A very important aspect of cartilage modelling is permeability



Permeability

A very important aspect of cartilage modelling is *permeability*

Permeability

The property of a porous material that describes the ability of a fluid to flow through the material.

Contrary to bone modelling, cartilage modelling takes into consideration not just fluid compression, but also flow

Permeability

A very important aspect of cartilage modelling is *permeability*

Permeability

The property of a porous material that describes the ability of a fluid to flow through the material.

Contrary to bone modelling, cartilage modelling takes into consideration not just fluid compression, but also flow This is a non-linear model

Modelling

Cartilage is often modelled as a *hyperelastic* material.



Modelling

Cartilage is often modelled as a hyperelastic material.



86

Modelling

Cartilage is often modelled as a hyperelastic material.



86

More specifically a Mooney-Rivlin material

Functionality



Functionality

Tendons

Fibrous connective tissue connecting muscles to bones. They help translate muscle force production into bone movement.



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

Modelling in Biomechanics

Functionality

Tendons

Fibrous connective tissue connecting muscles to bones. They help translate muscle force production into bone movement.

Ligaments

Fibrous connective tissue connecting bones to bones. They help keep bones together, restricting some degrees of freedom in articulations.





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

Modelling in Biomechanics

Functionality



Modelling

Tendons and ligaments are modelled as *viscohyperelastic* materials.



Modelling

Tendons and ligaments are modelled as *viscohyperelastic* materials.



Modelling

Viscous effects



Modelling

Viscous effects



Modelling

Viscous effects



Modelling

Viscous effects



Cardiovascular system

Description



86

Mechanical properties

Visco



86

Mechanical properties

Viscohyperelastic



86

Mechanical properties

Viscohyperelastic, anisotropic



Mechanical properties

Viscohyperelastic, anisotropic, composite.



Mechanical properties

Viscohyperelastic, anisotropic, composite.



86

And it exhibits residual stresses!





Cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

Pressure in vessels



Cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Pressure in vessels
- Blood flow rate



Cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Pressure in vessels
- Blood flow rate
- Turbulence



Basic principles





Daniel Bernoulli 1700-1782

37/86

Basic principles



Daniel Bernoulli 1700-1782

Incomplressible flow equation

$$\frac{u^2}{2} + gz + \frac{p}{\rho} = constant$$



Basic principles



Daniel Bernoulli 1700-1782

Incomplressible flow equation

$$\frac{u^2}{2} + gz + \frac{p}{\rho} = constant$$

- u: fluid flow speed
- g: gravitational acceleration
- z: elevation
- p: pressure
- $\rho:$ fluid density

Navier-Stokes equations



Claude-Lois Navier



Sir George Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations

 $\nabla \vec{u} = 0$



Claude-Lois Navier



Sir George Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations

 $\nabla \vec{u} = 0$

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \nabla \vec{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$



Claude-Lois Navier



Sir George Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations

 $\nabla \vec{u} = 0$ (conservation of mass)

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \nabla \vec{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$



Claude-Lois Navier



Sir George Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations

abla ec u = 0 (conservation of mass)

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \nabla \vec{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$
(Newton's second law F=ma)



Claude-Lois Navier



Sir George Stokes

Navier-Stokes equations

 $\nabla \vec{u}=0$ (conservation of mass)

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \nabla \vec{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$
(Newton's second law F=ma)



Claude-Lois Navier

We don't understand these fully!


Reynolds number



Osborne Reynolds 1842-1912



Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{\rho u L}{\mu}$$



Osborne Reynolds 1842-1912



Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{\rho u L}{\mu} = \frac{F_{inertia}}{F_{viscous}}$$



Re > ~ 10⁵



Osborne Reynolds 1842-1912



Reynolds number

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{D t} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$



Reynolds number

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{D t} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$

For Re $\ll\!\!1\!\!:$



Reynolds number

$$\begin{split} \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{D t} &= -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F \\ \text{For Re} \ll &1: \\ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla p &= +\mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} \end{split}$$

Reynolds number

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{D t} = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F$$
For Re $\ll 1$:
$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla p = +\mu \nabla^2 \vec{u}$$
For Re $\gg 1$:

Reynolds number

$$\begin{split} \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{Dt} &= -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F \\ & \text{For Re } \ll 1: \\ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla p &= +\mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} \\ & \text{For Re } \gg 1: \\ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{Dt} &= -\nabla p \end{split}$$

Reynolds number

$$\begin{split} \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{Dt} &= -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \rho F \\ & \text{For Re } \ll 1: \\ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla p &= +\mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} \\ & \text{For Re } \gg 1: \\ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{u} \frac{D \vec{u}}{Dt} &= -\nabla p \end{split}$$

Either of these are much simpler to compute

Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

 Ascending Aorta: 4500



Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Ascending Aorta: 4500
- Descending Aorta: 3400



Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Ascending Aorta: 4500
- Descending Aorta: 3400
- Abdominal Aorta:
 1250

41/86

Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Ascending Aorta: 4500
- Descending Aorta: 3400
- Abdominal Aorta:
 1250

86

• Femoral artery: 1000

Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Ascending Aorta: 4500
- Descending Aorta: 3400
- Abdominal Aorta:
 1250
- Femoral artery: 1000
- Arteriole: 0.09

Reynolds number in cardiovascular system



From Anatomy & Physiology, Connexions Web site

- Ascending Aorta: 4500
- Descending Aorta: 3400
- Abdominal Aorta:
 1250
- Femoral artery: 1000
- Arteriole: 0.09
- Capilaries: 0.001

Hagen-Poiseuille flow

Considering steady flow:

$$\Delta P = \frac{8\pi\mu LQ}{A^2}$$



Hagen-Poiseuille flow

Considering steady flow:

$$\Delta P = \frac{8\pi\mu LQ}{A^2}$$

- $\Delta P : \ {\rm Pressure} \ {\rm drop}$
- $\mu: \ {\rm Viscocity}$
- L: Length
- Q: Flow rate
- A: Crossectional area



Pressure drop

$$\Delta P = \frac{8\pi\mu LQ}{A^2}$$



⁴³/86

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Pressure drop

 $\Delta P = \frac{8\pi\mu LQ}{A^2}$, A way to calculate pressure along the cardiovascular system



3/86

Blood characteristics

Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect

Blood viscosity drops at very small diameters (capilaries)



Blood characteristics

Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect

Blood viscosity drops at very small diameters (capilaries)



Flow in elastic walls



86

Anima RES youtube channel

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

How do we combine everything together?

A lot of complex phenomena, bring the models to its limits.



How do we combine everything together?

A lot of complex phenomena, bring the models to its limits.





Human anatomy

What does the word 'musculoskeletal' mean to you?



Human anatomy

What does the word 'musculoskeletal' mean to you?



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

Modelling in Biomechanics

Human anatomy

What does the word 'musculoskeletal' mean to you?



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

Modelling in Biomechanics

Human anatomy

What does the word 'musculoskeletal' mean to you?



Stemodeidomaatoid (frontal belly) Debois apezius Pectoralis major octoralis mino Rectus abdomini Biceps brachii Abdominal Brachisks Decreator bases Pectineu - Flexor carpi radialis terro Tensor fascine latas Sartork, Bectus les Vastus medialis Elbularis lo Tibiolis acteri Major muscles of the body Right side: superficial; left side: Occipitofrontali Solenius carife Supra Tares mit Inhaspinatu Teres major -Tricens benchil carpi radiali Serratus poster Extensor digitorum External obliga Gluteus medius (dissected) Gluteus minimus Globara mari Genelus muscles Semimentra Dicess femorie Semitendinosus Gracity Gastroonemius (dissected Tibialis posterio Solous

86

Modelling in Biomechanics

Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom


Articulated joints

Types of joints

Characterization based on degrees of freedom



Movement production

Neural Command



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in

Modelling in Biomechanics

Movement production

Relaxed muscle cell

Intermediate filaments





Movement production





Movement production





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro

Modelling in Biomechanics

Movement production





Movement production



Movement production









Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Muscles

There are three types of muscles:



Muscles

There are three types of muscles:

Skeletal



Muscles

There are three types of muscles:

- Skeletal
- Smooth



Muscles

There are three types of muscles:

- Skeletal
- Smooth
- Cardiac



Muscles



There are three types of muscles:

- Skeletal
- Smooth
- Cardiac

Very complex structure of fibers bundled together



Muscles



There are three types of muscles:

- Skeletal
- Smooth
- Cardiac

Very complex structure of fibers bundled together

They generate force by contracting and relaxing.

Muscles



There are three types of muscles:

- Skeletal
- Smooth
- Cardiac

Very complex structure of fibers bundled together

They generate force by contracting and relaxing.

Motor units

Motor units

Motor neuron + skeletal muscle.



86

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Muscle contraction

Action potential



86

By CThompson02, CC BY-SA 4.0

Muscle contraction

Muscle fibers



Blausen.com staff (2014)

Muscle contraction

Sarcomere



Muscle models



• Maximum isometric force

Muscle models



- Maximum isometric force
- Optimal muscle fiber length

86

Muscle models



86

Muscle models



86

Muscle models



86





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Human motion

Pose

Description of position and orientation of segments, with respect to a reference frame



Human motion

Pose

Description of position and orientation of segments, with respect to a reference frame



We use coordinate frames

Definition

The Forward kinematics (FK) is a mathematical tool that allows us to calculate the position and orientation (pose) of a body's point of interest if we know the state of the joints and the lengths of the links.



Definition

The Forward kinematics (FK) is a mathematical tool that allows us to calculate the position and orientation (pose) of a body's point of interest if we know the state of the joints and the lengths of the links.

In simple words

How do I calculate the pose of the human arm if I know the joint angles?

Definition

We describe the pose of the end-effector using a 4x4 transformation matrix (contains information about position and orientation).



Calculation



Calculation

To define the FK we perform the following steps:

• We identify the links and joints of the arm.



Calculation

- We identify the links and joints of the arm.
- We attach a fixed coordinate frame in a convenient location.



Calculation

- We identify the links and joints of the arm.
- We attach a fixed coordinate frame in a convenient location.
- We attach a coordinate frame on each link at their joints.

Calculation

- We identify the links and joints of the arm.
- We attach a fixed coordinate frame in a convenient location.
- We attach a coordinate frame on each link at their joints.
- We calculate the transformation between each subsequent coordinate frame.
Forward kinematics

Calculation

To define the FK we perform the following steps:

- We identify the links and joints of the arm.
- We attach a fixed coordinate frame in a convenient location.
- We attach a coordinate frame on each link at their joints.
- We calculate the transformation between each subsequent coordinate frame.
- We combine the transformations to calculate the overall transformation from base to end-effector.

Forward kinematics

Calculation



⁶³/86

Forward kinematics

Dynamic calculation



$$R_3^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{1,2,3} & -s_{1,2,3} & l_3 c_{1,2,3} + l_2 c_{1,2} + l_1 c_1 \\ 0 & s_{1,2,3} & c_{1,2,3} & l_3 s_{1,2,3} + l_2 s_{1,2} + l_1 s_1 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

What is the difference?

Forward kinematics

I want to know where will my end-effector be, if I give specific coordinates (values) to each joint



What is the difference?

Forward kinematics

I want to know where will my end-effector be, if I give specific coordinates (values) to each joint



Inverse geometric model

I want to know what should the joint coordinates (values) be in order for my end-effector to reach a specific pose

What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.



What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.

Can you imagine why?



What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.





86

What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.



86

What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.

But it is also most difficult to derive and we need the forward kinematics to derive it.

What is the difference?

The inverse model is usually more useful.

But it is also most difficult to derive and we need the forward kinematics to derive it.

Can you imagine why?

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{1,2} & -s_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 c_{1,2} + l_1 c_1 \\ s_{1,2} & c_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 s_{1,2} + l_1 s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_X & Y_X & Z_X & P_x \\ X_Y & Y_Y & Z_Y & P_y \\ X_Z & Y_Z & Z_Z & P_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{1,2} & -s_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 c_{1,2} + l_1 c_1 \\ s_{1,2} & c_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 s_{1,2} + l_1 s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_X & Y_X & Z_X & P_x \\ X_Y & Y_Y & Z_Y & P_y \\ X_Z & Y_Z & Z_Z & P_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

⁶⁸/86

$$cos(q_{1} + q_{2}) = X_{x} = Y_{y}$$

$$sin(q_{1} + q_{2}) = X_{y} = -Y_{x}$$

$$l_{2}cos(q_{1} + q_{2}) + l_{1}cosq_{1} = P_{x}$$

$$l_{2}sin(q_{1} + q_{2}) + l_{1}sinq_{1} = P_{y}$$

$$0 = P_{z}$$

Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{1,2} & -s_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 c_{1,2} + l_1 c_1 \\ s_{1,2} & c_{1,2} & 0 & l_2 s_{1,2} + l_1 s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_X & Y_X & Z_X & P_x \\ X_Y & Y_Y & Z_Y & P_y \\ X_Z & Y_Z & Z_Z & P_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$cos(q_1 + q_2) = X_x = Y_y$$

$$sin(q_1 + q_2) = X_y = -Y_x$$

$$l_2 cos(q_1 + q_2) + l_1 cosq_1 = P_x$$
 How do we solve this?

$$l_2 sin(q_1 + q_2) + l_1 sinq_1 = P_y$$

$$0 = P_x$$

⁶⁸/86

Inverse kinematics model Examples



86

Analytical solution

$$q_2 = \cos^{-1} \frac{x^2 + y^2 - l_1^2 - l_2^2}{2l_1 l_2}$$

$$q_1 = atan2(x, y) - \beta = atan2(y, x) - atan2(k_2, k_1)$$

Where:

$$k_1 = l_1 + l_2 cos(q_2) k_2 = l_2 sin(q_2)$$

86





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Dynamic modeling

What is it all about?

Kinematics:

Dynamics (Kinetics):



Dynamic modeling What is it all about?

Kinematics: description of motion of bodies or system of bodies

Dynamics (Kinetics):



Dynamic modeling What is it all about?

Kinematics: description of motion of bodies or system of bodies

Dynamics (Kinetics): description of the causes resulting in those motions (i.e. forces and torques)



Dynamic modeling

What is it all about?

Dynamic model

A set of equations that gives us the relationship between input joint forces/torques and resulting joint accelerations.

Dynamic modeling

What is it all about?

Dynamic model

A set of equations that gives us the relationship between input joint forces/torques and resulting joint accelerations.

Why is this useful?

Lagrangian mechanics

A more sophisticated formulation of mechanics

Lagrange defined a basic quantity for any system of bodies as the difference between its kinetic and potential energy.

$$L = K - P$$

We call this quantity the Lagrangian of the system.

Definitions

Potential energy

Total potential energy

The total potential energy of a mechanism is the sum of the potential energy of its parts



Definitions

Kinetic energy

Total kinetic energy

The total kinetic energy of an object is the sum of its linear and angular kinetic energy.

$$K_{total} = K_{linear} + K_{angular} = \frac{1}{2}(mu^2 + I\omega^2)$$

Potential energy



The total potential energy is:

$$P(q, \dot{q}) = m_1 g \frac{l_1}{2} sinq_1 + m_2 g \left(l_1 sinq_1 + \frac{l_2}{2} sin(q_1 + q_2) \right)$$

86

Kinetic energy

The total Kinetic energy of the mechanism is:

$$K(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[J_{vi}^{T} m_{i} J_{vi} + J_{\omega i}^{T} R_{i} I_{i} R_{i}^{T} J_{\omega i} \right] \dot{q} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} D(q) \dot{q}$$

$$R(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[J_{vi}^{T} m_{i} J_{vi} + J_{\omega i}^{T} R_{i} I_{i} R_{i}^{T} J_{\omega i} \right] \dot{q} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} D(q) \dot{q}$$

Let's plug it all together

The equation of motion is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \tau$$



Let's plug it all together

The equation of motion is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \tau$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} = \tau_k$$

86

Condensed form

We can write this equation in a more general form:

 $D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$



Condensed form

We can write this equation in a more general form:

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$

The matrix D, contains information about the **inertia** of the system, therefore contains all the masses and moments of inertia.



We can write this equation in a more general form:

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$

The matrix D, contains information about the **inertia** of the system, therefore contains all the masses and moments of inertia.

The matrix C has elements related to the **centrifugal** and **Coriolis** terms

We can write this equation in a more general form:

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$

The matrix D, contains information about the **inertia** of the system, therefore contains all the masses and moments of inertia.

The matrix C has elements related to the **centrifugal** and **Coriolis** terms

Finally, the term g contains the dependence of the potential energy from the position of the mechanism.

Dynamic model

Torques

How do we calculate torques?



Dynamic model

Torques

How do we calculate torques?





86
Torques





Forward dynamics

 $D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$



Forward dynamics

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$

$$\ddot{q} = D(q)^{-1} [\tau - C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - g(q)]$$



Forward dynamics

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$

$$\ddot{q} = D(q)^{-1} [\tau - C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - g(q)]$$

$$\ddot{q} = D(q)^{-1}[\tau(\alpha, l, \dot{l}) - C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - g(q)]$$

86





Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics

Inverse dynamics

$$D(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau$$



Coming up next

85/86



Questions?



Tassos Natsakis tassos.natsakis@aut.utcluj.ro Modelling in Biomechanics